Thermal stability of Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film formed on Si(111) substrate by solid phase reaction*
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Single crystalline Mg$_2$Si film was formed by solid phase reaction (SPR) of Si(111) substrate with Mg overlayer capped with oxide layer(s), which was enhanced by post annealing from room temperature to 100 °C in a molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system. The thermal stability of Mg$_2$Si film was then systematically investigated by post annealing in oxygen-radical ambient at 300 °C, 450 °C and 650 °C, respectively. The Mg$_2$Si film kept stable until the annealing temperature reached 450 °C then it transformed into amorphous MgO attributed to the decomposition of Mg$_2$Si and the oxidization of dissociated Mg.
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1. Introduction

As a narrow-gap semiconductor, Mg$_2$Si film has attracted much attention in recent years due to its good Ohmic contact character with n-type Si and potential applications in the wavelength ranging between 1.2–1.8 μm for optical fibers and high-performance thermo-electronic devices.[1–3] Though the growth of polycrystalline Mg$_2$Si films has been extensively demonstrated by reactive deposition of Mg onto hot Si substrates or co-deposition of Mg and Si atoms by molecular beam epitaxy,[4–7] preparation of single crystalline Mg$_2$Si film is still a challenging task. As an important method to synthesize silicide films, solid phase reaction (SPR) of thin metal films with Si substrate has been widely used to study the stability, reaction mechanism, and electronic structure of silicide compounds for Fe, Co and Ni systems,[8–10] in which various silicides with different phases or stoichiometric relations usually form at different stages during annealing temperature variations. It is found that annealing procedure is an important factor determining phase formation sequence for multiphase silicides in view of different bonding energy of metal–Si for different phase. As for Mg–Si system, Wigren et al and An et al reported that Mg$_2$Si epitaxial layer could be formed when Mg reacted with Si at the initial stage of the Mg deposition onto Si(111) substrate at room temperature (RT),[11,12] but the film thickness could not exceed 1 nm and they anticipated that this critical thickness acted as barrier of mutual diffusion of Mg and Si atoms. They also indicated that it is difficult but possible to prepare Mg$_2$Si films by the SPR of pre-deposited Mg film with underlying Si substrate at relative low temperature. In addition, Mg silicide has only one stoichiometric phase, i.e. Mg$_2$Si,[6] which makes the control of the SPR of Mg with Si much easier than those of multiphase silicides.

On the other hand, as a main factor influencing
the formation of many silicides formed by SPR,\textsuperscript{[11]} diffusion process highly depends on annealing temperature and the effect of annealing on the SPR of Mg and Si is still a hard work because of the high vapour pressure of Mg even at 200 °C.\textsuperscript{[7]} In addition, annealing temperature also has a great effect on the stability of Mg–Si bonds in the grown Mg$_2$Si film,\textsuperscript{[5]} which directly affects its application in optoelectronic and thermoelectric devices. Whereas, up to now, the thermal behaviour of Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film has been scarcely studied.

In this study, the growth and stability of the Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film formed by temperature-dependent SPR are systematically investigated with the evolution of microstructure and chemical components confirmed by x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM), scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM), energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) and electron energy loss spectroscopy (EELS). It is revealed that the Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film formed by SPR transforms to amorphous MgO$_x$ when the annealing temperature is above 450 °C. The formation mechanism of Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film is discussed.

2. Experimental

The Mg$_2$Si films in this study were prepared by controlled SPR of Mg epitaxial film with Si (111) substrates in an radio-frequency plasma assisted molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) system.\textsuperscript{[14]} Two kinds of samples were prepared according to the flowchart shown by Fig.1. It should be noted that the processes described in solid frames were carried out in the MBE chamber. For sample A, a low temperature (–10 °C) deposition process of Mg crystalline film with thickness of 6 nm was firstly performed in MBE chamber to suppress the reaction between Mg and Si with a base pressure of $3.0 \times 10^{-9}$ mbar, followed by depositing a MgO layer with thickness of 4 nm to protect the Mg film from oxidation, then was annealed at RT in an oxygen-radical (O*) ambient with a pressure of $2 \times 10^{-5}$ mbar. In the following, B$_1$, B$_2$, B$_3$ and B$_4$ will be used to represent four samples annealed at 100, 300, 450 and 650 °C, respectively. The temperature ramping rate during these annealing processes was held within 3 °C/min. Especially, when the temperature reached 100 °C sample B$_2$, B$_3$ and B$_4$ were kept for 30 min at 100°C, then continually increased to their corresponding terminal annealing temperature and held for 30 min, respectively. After annealing, the interface structures of sample B$_1$, B$_2$, B$_3$ and B$_4$ were characterized by HRTEM, respectively.

3. Results and discussion

From the flowchart of sample A, after deposition of Mg and MgO at –10 °C, a MgO/Mg/Si double-heterostructure (shown by Fig.2(a)) was obtained at –10 °C before sample A was annealed at RT. Since
the preparation of cross-sectional transmission electron microscopy (TEM) specimens for HRTEM observations generally need heating the samples to about 100 °C, it is not possible to examine the structural behaviour without high temperature annealing (such as 100 °C or higher). As a consequence, XPS was carried out at RT for sample A to study the influence of RT annealing on the structural evolution near the Mg/Si interface from –10 °C to RT. Figure 2(b) is the XPS depth-profiles spectra of Mg 2p core level of sample A at RT, and Fig.2(c) is a sketch illustrating the multilayer structure of sample A at RT. In the case of the non-sputtering, the peak located at 50.4 eV indicates that the film surface is mainly composed of MgO.[15] After sputtering for 2 min, the peak shifts to a lower energy of 49.8 eV, suggesting the existence of metallic Mg. After 3 min of sputtering, the peak shifts to 51.4 eV. Showing a good agreement with the Mg$_2$Si peak reported in Ref.[16], in which the Mg 2p peak of Mg$_2$Si layer shifts by 0.6 eV to higher energy compared with pure Mg film from $E_B = 51.0$ eV to 51.6 eV.[16] The Mg signal completely disappears after 5 min sputtering, indicating that the Mg$_2$Si film has been removed completely by Ar$^+$ and a bare Si substrate is left alone. The above result shows that the MgO/Mg/Si double heterostructure at –10 °C (Fig.2(a)) has evolved into a MgO/Mg/Mg$_2$Si/Si triple heterostructure (Fig.2(c)) after annealing at RT, and the MgO, Mg, and Mg$_2$Si layer are distributed in sequence from the surface to substrate. This analysis suggests that the formation of Mg$_2$Si firstly appears near the Mg/Si interface region, while the upper part of the original Mg layer has not taken part in the reaction with Si. Since the SPR is realized by the balance between atomic diffusion and interfacial reaction barrier,[9] the XPS result demonstrates that the diffusivity of Mg or Si atoms at RT is too weak to go through the obtained Mg$_2$Si film for further reaction. Thus the 6 nm-thick Mg layer and Si can not totally react into Mg$_2$Si. Therefore, it is necessary to increase the diffusivity of Mg or Si atoms by increasing the annealing temperature so as to obtain thicker Mg$_2$Si epitaxial films, which is confirmed by sample B$_1$.

Figure 3 shows the cross-sectional HRTEM image taken along [110]$_{Si}$ direction near the interface of sample B$_1$. The Mg$_2$Si (110) film with a uniform thickness of ~4 nm can be clearly seen sandwiched between the MgO (111) film and the Si (111) substrate, with a spacing of (110) planes (2.2 Å) (1 Å=0.1 nm), which is smaller than that of the MgO (111) planes (2.4 Å). Therefore, the Mg film has completely reacted with the underlying Si atoms at 100 °C, indicating that Mg and Si atoms have enough diffusivity at 100 °C to go across 4 nm-thick Mg$_2$Si layer. Figure 3 also shows sharp MgO/Mg$_2$Si and Mg$_2$Si/Si interfaces and the perfect crystal-quality of the Mg$_2$Si film.

**Fig.2.** (a) A sketch illustrating the multilayer structure of the as-grown sample A at –10 °C; (b) XPS depth-profile spectra of sample A sputtered by Ar$^+$ for different time at RT; (c) A sketch illustrating the multilayer structure of sample A at RT.

**Fig.3.** Cross-sectional HRTEM micrograph along [110]$_{Si}$ direction near the interface region of sample B$_1$, of which the ZnO/MgO/Mg/Si triple heterostructure has been annealed at 100 °C.

The annealing temperature was further increased to understand the thermal stability of the Mg$_2$Si film at high temperatures. Figures 4(a)–4(c) show the cross-sectional HRTEM images along the [110]$_{Si}$ direction near the interface of sample B$_2$, B$_3$ and B$_4$, respectively. As can be seen from Fig.4(a), sharp interfaces of the MgO/Mg$_2$Si/Si double heterostructure remain after annealing at the 300 °C, suggesting that the structure of the Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film retains stable at 300 °C. Interface degradation is evidenced by
the existence of an amorphous layer between the MgO overlayer and underlying Si substrate (Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)) was observed when the annealing temperature was increased to 450 °C or higher.

To understand the chemical component of the amorphous layer and its formation mechanism, the amorphous layers in samples B$_3$ and B$_4$ were analyzed by EDS and EELS. Figure 5(a) is an EDS elemental profile along the interfaces of sample B$_3$, which qualitatively shows the distribution of the elements across the multilayer. Since the thickness of MgO and Mg film in sample B$_3$ before annealing are about 4 nm and 6 nm, respectively, the amorphous layer and MgO layer should be distributed within the 65–75 nm range along the growth direction in Fig.5(a). From the count variation within the range of 65–70 nm, compared with Mg and O, the signal intensity of Si in the amorphous layer is very poor. The EDS result qualitatively demonstrates that the amorphous layer consists of O and Mg, excluding the obvious existence of Si atoms in the amorphous layer. This was further confirmed by the EELS analysis shown in Figs.5(b) and 5(c). Strong and sharp O-K EELS peaks can be clearly found in the circled area (inset) in Figure 5(b), and Mg-K peak (not shown here) is also observed in the same circled area. From Fig.5(c), the signal of Si-L peak is negligible, which proves that there are no obvious Si elements residual in the amorphous layer after annealing at 450 °C. It should be noted that (1) the circled area in Fig.5(b) was selected away from the upper MgO layer to avoid the influence of the O signal from the MgO layer, and (2) the selected area in Fig.5(c) was apart from Si substrate, so that the interference of the substrate can be kept at a minimum. Taking the EDS and EELS results into account, we can conclude that the amorphous layer is composed of amorphous-MgO$_x$ (A-MgO$_x$). Similar results of EDS and EELS have also been found in sample B$_4$.

There are two possible reasons for the transformation of Mg$_2$Si to A-MgO$_x$ at high temperature: (1) oxidation of Mg$_2$Si and (2) oxidation of Mg after decomposition of Mg$_2$Si. According to the formation heat of SiO$_2$ (–858 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) and MgO (–601 kJ·mol$^{-1}$),[16] it should be much easier for Si than Mg to be oxidized if the oxidation of Mg$_2$Si occurs. Since the EDS and EELS results exclude the existence of Si,
the latter scenario is more reasonable, which indicates that the Mg$_2$Si film has decomposed at a temperature region of 300–450 °C. This result is consistent with a phenomenon found by Vantomme et al – a bare 80 nm-thick Mg$_2$Si polycrystalline film on Si (111) can be completely removed after annealing at 500 °C in vacuum.[17] In addition, by using 200 nm-thick SiO$_2$ film as cap layer for 48 nm-thick Mg$_2$Si polycrystalline film to prevent Mg$_2$Si desorption, the oxidation of the silicide film was also found after annealing at 500 °C in vacuum attributed to the partial intermixing of silicide with SiO$_2$ film. From Figs.4(a)–4(c), the thickness and microstructure of both MgO and ZnO film near the substrate are very stable before and after the Mg$_2$Si transformation to A-MgO$_x$. So the oxidation of silicide may be related to the O*-rich ambient during annealing and can not be ascribed to the intermixing with the cap layer in our case. Moreover, it should be noted that the annealing processes of B$_2$, B$_3$ and B$_4$ in the MBE chamber were carried out under O*-ambient with a pressure of 2×10$^{-5}$ mbar, which is different from that reported by Vantomme’s work.

In order to understand the formation of A-MgO$_x$ after the decomposition of Mg$_2$Si, we note the Mg–Si phase diagram,[6] in which the solid solubility of Si in Mg solid solution and the solubility of Mg in Si solid solution are both negligible below 637.6 °C (an eutectic temperature). As a consequence, Mg$_2$Si decomposition would result in separation of Mg and Si. The dissociated Si may grow homoepitaxially on the underneath Si (111) substrate to reduce the system energy. Simultaneously, strong upward diffusion of Mg may occur due to the high diffusivity of Mg atoms at 450 °C or higher,[5] while the cap layer effectively prevents its evaporation, resulting in the formation of A-MgO$_x$ layer on the Si substrate. Since the Mg–O bonding in the MgO capping layer and Zn–O bonding in the upper ZnO film are very strong according to their high melting points, the oxygen atoms in the A-MgO$_x$ should come from the O* ambient during the annealing. This also means that the oxidation of the dissociated Mg atoms is most possibly caused by the diffusing-in oxygen atoms through the MgO and ZnO layers from the MBE chamber.

4. Summary

The SPR-induced formation and the thermal stability of Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film were studied by post annealing of 6-nm-thick Mg epitaxial films capped with 4 nm-thick MgO layer (in the former case) or 4 nm-MgO plus 100 nm-ZnO double layer (in the latter case) in O* atmosphere at various temperature, respectively. The Mg film can totally react with Si and form 4 nm-thick Mg$_2$Si epitaxial film due to the enhanced diffusivity of Mg or Si atoms at 100 °C. The decomposition of Mg$_2$Si film will occur when annealing temperature reaches 450 °C, accompanied by oxidation to form A-MgO$_x$. It is possible for the separated Mg atoms after decomposition to stay in the interlayer and react with the oxygen atoms from the atmosphere because of the immiscibility of the dissociated Mg and Si atoms, oxide cap layer and O* annealing atmosphere.
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